24 October 2012 Lioneutrino 2012 - Lyon

# DMV: neutrinos from DM Marco Cirelli (CNRS IPhT Saclay)



Based on:

Cirelli, Fornengo, Montaruli, Sokalski, Strumia, Vissani, Nucl.Phys. B727 (2005) 99-138, Erratum-ibid. B790 (2008) 338-344 [hep-ph/0506298]

> Cirelli, Corcella, Hektor, Hutsi, Kadastik, Panci, Raidal, Sala, Strumia, JCAP 1103 (2011) 051 [1012.4515]

24 October 2012 Lioneutrino 2012 - Lyon

# DMV: neutrinos from DM Marco Cirelli (CNRS IPhT Saclay)



Based on:

Cirelli, Fornengo, Montaruli, Sokalski, Strumia, Vissani, Nucl.Phys. B727 (2005) 99-138, Erratum-ibid. B790 (2008) 338-344 [hep-ph/0506298]

> Cirelli, Corcella, Hektor, Hutsi, Kadastik, Panci, Raidal, Sala, Strumia, JCAP 1103 (2011) 051 [1012.4515]

### Basics: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Status:

1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions

### DM exists

### DM exists



galactic rotation curves



weak lensing (e.g. in clusters)



'precision cosmology' (CMB, LSS)

### DM exists



galactic rotation curves







<sup>&#</sup>x27;precision cosmology' (CMB, LSS)

# DM is a neutral, very long lived, feebly interacting particle.

### DM exists



galactic rotation curves



weak lensing (e.g. in clusters)



<sup>&#</sup>x27;precision cosmology' (CMB, LSS)

# DM is a neutral, very long lived, feebly interacting particle.

# Some of us believe in the WIMP miracle.

- weak-scale mass (10 GeV 1 TeV)
- weak interactions  $\sigma v = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$

- give automatically correct abundance



direct detection

Xenon, CDMS, Edelweiss... (CoGeNT, Dama/Libra...)

production at colliders

Y from annihil in galactic center or halo and from synchrotron emission Fermi, ICT, radio telescopes...

\indirect e

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, Fermi, HESS, AMS, balloons... from annihil in galactic halo or center

from annihil in galactic halo or center GAPS

 $\nu, \bar{\nu}$  from annihil in galactic center or halo or in massive bodies (Earth or Sun)

SK, Icecube, Km3Net

direct detection

#### production at colliders

#### direct detection

#### production at colliders

Y from annihil in galactic center or halo and from synchrotron emission Fermi, HESS, radio telescopes

#### \indirect

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center

from annihil in galactic halo or center

V, v from annihil in galactic center or halo or in massive bodies (Earth or Sun) SK, Icecube, Km3Net

#### direct detection

#### production at colliders

Y from annihil in galactic center or halo and from synchrotron emission Fermi, HESS, radio telescopes

#### \indirect

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center

from annihil in galactic halo or center

*v* from annihil in galactic center or halo or in massive bodies (Earth or Sun) SK, Icecube, Km3Net

### Basics: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Status:

1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions

# $\frac{\text{Indirect Detection}}{\nu \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}}$



# Indirect Detection v from DM annihilations in galactic center



# What sets the overall expected flux? ${ m flux} \propto n^2 \, \sigma_{ m annihilation}$

# Indirect Detection v from DM annihilations in galactic center



What sets the overall expected flux?  $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{flux} \propto n^2 \\ \mbox{astro}^2 \\ \mbox{astro}^2 \\ \mbox{particle} \end{array}$ 

# $\frac{1}{\nu} \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}$



What sets the overall expected flux? flux  $\propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$ astro&  $\sigma_{v} = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$ 

### DM halo profiles



At small r:  $\rho(r) \propto 1/r^{\gamma}$ 

6 profiles: cuspy: NFW, Moore mild: Einasto smooth: isothermal, Burkert EinastoB = steepened Einasto (effect of baryons?)

#### simulations:

DM halo	$\alpha$	$r_s \; [\mathrm{kpc}]$	$\rho_s \; [{\rm GeV/cm^3}]$
NFW	_	24.42	0.184
Einasto	0.17	28.44	0.033
EinastoB	0.11	35.24	0.021
Isothermal	_	4.38	1.387
Burkert		12.67	0.712
Moore	_	30.28	0.105





# **Indirect Detection: basics**



 $W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\mp}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \nu.$ 

primary channels

 $\cdot W^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \nu.$ 

### **Indirect Detection: basics** DM

 $W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \longrightarrow e^{\mp}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \nu.$ decay

primary channels

DM

 $\cdot W^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \nu.$ 

### Indirect Detection: basics





 $\overline{p}$  primary spectra



### Indirect Detection: basics









- 1. Dark Matter mass
- **2.** primary channel(s)
- 3. annihilation cross section  $\sigma_{ann}$

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

-.e+

DM

DN

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!



 $q\bar{q}$ 

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

*.e* 

 $DM^{\bullet}$ 

 $D\Lambda$ 

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

· e7

DM

 $D\Lambda$ 

**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!



Ciafaloni et al., JCAP 1103 (2011) See also: Serpico et al., Bell et al.

 $\bar{q} \longleftrightarrow^{P}_{\pi^{0} \rightsquigarrow \gamma \gamma}$   $\nu \bar{\nu}$   $\pm \bar{\nu} e^{\pm} \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

#### **ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

DM



 $\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} \propto \alpha_{\rm weak} \ln^2$ 

#### **ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

 $\overline{DM}$ 



 $\Delta \sigma$ 

σ

 $\sim$  0.03

~ Tev

 $\sim 25$ 

#### **ElectroWeak corrections** are important!

DM

 $D\Lambda$ 



 $\Delta \sigma$ 

 $\sigma$ 

 $\sim$  0.03

~ Tev

 $\sim 25$ 

 $\sim75\%$ 



**ElectroWeak corrections** are important!



DM

 $D\Lambda$ 

unexpected species
different spectra

(especially at low
energy, but not only)

Ciafaloni et al., JCAP 1103 (2011) See also: Serpico et al., Bell et al.

# $\frac{\text{Indirect Detection}}{\nu \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}}$



# $\frac{\text{Indirect Detection}}{\nu \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic halo}}$



 $|
u_{\mu}|$ 

### **Basics**:

neutrinos from galactic center or halo
 neutrinos from the Sun

### Status:

neutrinos from galactic center or halo
 neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions



basics: DM particle scatters with nuclei and loses energy if  $v_f < v_{\rm esc}$  particle is gravitationally trapped it spirals to center of body and accumulates annihilates

 $v_{
m halo} \simeq 270 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\odot} \simeq 620 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\oplus} \simeq 12 \ 
m km/s$ 



basics: DM particle scatters with nuclei and loses energy if  $v_f < v_{\rm esc}$  particle is gravitationally trapped it spirals to center of body and accumulates annihilates

 $v_{
m halo} \simeq 270 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\odot} \simeq 620 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\oplus} \simeq 12 \ 
m km/s$ 



basics: DM particle scatters with nuclei and loses energy if  $v_f < v_{\rm esc}$  particle is gravitationally trapped it spirals to center of body and accumulates annihilates

 $v_{
m halo} \simeq 270 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\odot} \simeq 620 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\oplus} \simeq 12 \ 
m km/s$ 

 $C_{\mathrm{capt}}C_{\mathrm{ann}}$ 

#### equilibrium attained:



$$\dot{n} = C_{\text{capt}} - C_{\text{ann}} n^2$$

$$n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{C_{\text{capt}}}{C_{\text{ann}}}} \tanh\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \qquad \left(\tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm ann}(t) = \frac{C_{\rm capt}}{2} \tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$



basics: DM particle scatters with nuclei and loses energy if  $v_f < v_{\rm esc}$  particle is gravitationally trapped it spirals to center of body and accumulates annihilates

 $v_{
m halo} \simeq 270 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\odot} \simeq 620 \ 
m km/s$  $v_{
m esc,\oplus} \simeq 12 \ 
m km/s$ 

#### equilibrium attained:



$$\dot{n} = C_{\rm capt} - C_{\rm ann} n^2$$

$$n(t) = \sqrt{rac{C_{ ext{capt}}}{C_{ ext{ann}}}} \tanh\left(rac{t}{ au}
ight) \qquad \left( au = rac{1}{\sqrt{C_{ ext{capt}}C_{ ext{ann}}}}
ight)$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm ann}(t) = \frac{C_{\rm capt}}{2} \tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$

The main physical parameter is:  $\sigma_N$  (DM-nucleon scattering cross section)



# $DM^{\bullet}$ DM

 $W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\mp}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D}$ .

primary<br/>channelsfinal<br/>products $W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \dots \rightarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$ 

dense medium

Effects of the medium:

1) light hadrons ( $\pi$ , K...) and leptons ( $\mu$ ) are stopped and absorbed (unless energetic) 2) heavy hadrons/leptons lose some energy before decaying



 $W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightarrow e^{\mp}, p', D'.$ decay

primary channels

 $W^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$ 

#### dense medium

final

products



Effects of the medium:

1) light hadrons  $(\pi, K...)$  and leptons  $(\mu)$  are stopped and absorbed (unless energetic) 2) heavy hadrons/leptons lose some energy before decaying



#### oscillations + interactions





#### oscillations + interactions





#### oscillations + interactions





Sun  $P_{ee}, P_{\mu\mu}$ Absorption only 0.8 Probability 7.0  $P_{ee}$  $P_{\mu\mu}, P_{\tau\mu}, P_{\tau\tau}$  $P_{\tau e}, P_{\mu e}$ Oscillations only 0.2 0 10<sup>-1</sup> 10 1 10<sup>2</sup>  $10^{3}$ Neutrino energy in GeV

detection

#### oscillations + interactions

 $\Phi_{
u_e}$ 

 $\Phi_{
u_{\mu}}$ 

 $\Phi_{\nu_{\tau}}$ 

### production





#### oscillations + interactions

density matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ee} & \rho_{e\mu} & \rho_{e\tau} \\ \rho_{\mu e} & \rho_{\mu\mu} & \rho_{\mu\tau} \\ \rho_{\tau e} & \rho_{\tau\mu} & \rho_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### full evolution equation:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dr} = -i[\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{\rho}] + \frac{d\rho}{dr} \bigg|_{\mathrm{CC}} + \frac{d\rho}{dr} \bigg|_{\mathrm{NC}} + \frac{d\rho}{dr} \bigg|_{\mathrm{In}}$$

# 2. Propagation: oscillations

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dr} = -i[\boldsymbol{H}, \ \boldsymbol{\rho}]$$

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{\boldsymbol{m}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{m}}{2E_{\nu}} + \sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}} \begin{bmatrix} N_{e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{N_{n}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

# 2. Propagation: oscillations

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dr} = -i[\boldsymbol{H}, \ \boldsymbol{\rho}]$$

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{\boldsymbol{m}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{m}}{2E_{\nu}} + \sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}} \begin{bmatrix} N_{e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{N_{n}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### vacuum mixing:

$$oldsymbol{m}^\dagger oldsymbol{m} = oldsymbol{V} \cdot \left( egin{array}{cc} m_1^2 & \ & m_2^2 & \ & & m_3^2 \end{array} 
ight) \cdot oldsymbol{V}^\dagger$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\rm sun} &= 32^{\circ} \\ \theta_{\rm atm} &= 45^{\circ} \\ \theta_{13} &= 0 \\ \Delta m_{\rm sun}^2 &= 8.0 \ 10^{-5} {\rm eV}^2 \\ |\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2| &= 2.5 \ 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2 \end{aligned}$$

# 2. Propagation: oscillations

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dr} = -i[\boldsymbol{H}, \ \boldsymbol{\rho}]$$

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{\boldsymbol{m}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{m}}{2E_{\nu}} + \sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}} \begin{bmatrix} N_{e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{N_{n}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

vacuum mixing:

$$oldsymbol{m}^\dagger oldsymbol{m} = oldsymbol{V} \cdot egin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \ & m_2^2 & \ & & m_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot oldsymbol{V}^\dagger$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\rm sun} &= 32^{\circ} \\ \theta_{\rm atm} &= 45^{\circ} \\ \theta_{13} &= 0 \\ \Delta m_{\rm sun}^2 &= 8.0 \ 10^{-5} {\rm eV}^2 \\ |\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2| &= 2.5 \ 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2 \end{aligned}$$

matter effect (MSW):

 $N_e(r), N_n(r)$  from solar/ Earth models

# 2. Propagation: CC absorption & tau regeneration



$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dr}\Big|_{\mathrm{CC}} = -\frac{\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{CC}},\boldsymbol{\rho}\}}{2} + \int \frac{dE_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}}{E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}} \bigg[ \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\tau} \rho_{\tau\tau}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}) \Gamma_{\mathrm{CC}}^{\tau}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}) f_{\tau \to \tau}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}, E_{\nu}) + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{e,\mu} \bar{\rho}_{\tau\tau}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}) \bar{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{CC}}^{\tau}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}) f_{\bar{\tau} \to e,\mu}(E_{\nu}^{\mathrm{in}}, E_{\nu})\bigg]$$

# 2. Propagation: NC scatterings

$$\frac{d\rho}{dr} = -i[H, \rho] + \frac{d\rho}{dr}\Big|_{CC} + \frac{d\rho}{dr}\Big|_{NC}$$

$$\frac{\nu_e \ \nu_\mu \ \nu_\tau}{E} \qquad N \qquad E'$$

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dr}\Big|_{\mathrm{NC}} = -\int_0^{E_\nu} dE'_\nu \frac{d\Gamma_{\mathrm{NC}}}{dE'_\nu} (E_\nu, E'_\nu)\boldsymbol{\rho}(E_\nu) + \int_{E_\nu}^\infty dE'_\nu \frac{d\Gamma_{\mathrm{NC}}}{dE_\nu} (E'_\nu, E_\nu)\boldsymbol{\rho}(E'_\nu)$$

# 2. Propagation: summary

Effects of oscillations and interactions:

- reshuffle of the 3 flavors (oscillations and regeneration)

- attenuation of the fluxes

- degradation of energy (distortion of spectra)

### Basics: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Status: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions

# $\frac{\text{Neutrino constraints}}{\nu}$ from DM annihilations in galactic center/halo

ICECUBE



Icecube Coll., 1101.3349 + Carlos de los Heros, talk at TeVPA 2011, Stockholm + Icecube Coll., 1111.2738

90% C.L. Upper Limit - Einasto 10<sup>-18</sup> PAMELA Inita. (7)90° D 60 IceC  $10^{-20}$ signal bckgr IceCub region region GC ∆ RA=0° ∆ RA=180° U 10-2 D  $<\sigma_A v>$ Einas imanar 10<sup>-24</sup> Natural scale 10<sup>-26</sup> 10<sup>3<sup>10<sup>-1P</sup></sup></sup> IceCube \_\_\_\_\_ 4 90% C.L. Upper Onit 10<sup>-20</sup> **Ge** 10<sup>-20</sup> **Ge** 10<sup>-22</sup> 10<sup>-22</sup>  $m_{\chi}$ ermi Data 10<sup>-24</sup> **PAMELA** Data Natural scale 10<sup>-26</sup> 10<sup>3</sup>  $10^{4}$ 

m<sub>v</sub> [GeV]

### **Neutrino constraints** Comparing with SuperKamiokande data in 3° to 30° - dependance on DM profile



Ð

Papucci

umia,

### Basics: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### **Status:**

neutrinos from galactic center or halo
 neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions

Indirect Detection

/ from DM annihilations in the Sun
Probe the scattering
cross section.

Cross section.

### SuperKamiokande







# Pualue zor noza, vank al TeVPA 2011, Stockholm

### Basics: 1) neutrinos from galactic center or halo 2) neutrinos from the Sun

### Status:

neutrinos from galactic center or halo
 neutrinos from the Sun

### Conclusions

DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

#### DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

complementary to other messengers neutrinos travel undisturbed rather small astro uncertainty

#### DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

rather small astro uncertainty

neutrinos travel undisturbed

complementary to other messengers

Two classes: i) from the GC/GH, ii) from massive bodies (Sun)

#### DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

rather small astro uncertainty

neutrinos travel undisturbed

complementary to other messengers

Two classes: i) from the GC/GH, ii) from massive bodies (Sun)

Currently only bounds (but experiments get better and better)

#### DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

complementary to other messengers neutrinos travel undisturbed rather small astro uncertainty

Two classes: i) from the GC/GH, ii) from massive bodies (Sun)

Currently only bounds (but experiments get better and better)

#### Advertisements:

You want to compute all **signatures** of your DM model in positrons, electrons, <u>neutrinos</u>, gamma rays... but you don't want to mess around with astrophysics?

#### DMV are an interesting, clean, rather robust probe of DM.

complementary to other messengers

1012.4515

neutrinos travel undisturbed rather small astro uncertainty

Two classes: i) from the GC/GH, ii) from massive bodies (Sun)

Currently only bounds (but experiments get better and better)

#### Advertisements:

You want to compute all **signatures** of your DM model in positrons, electrons, <u>neutrinos</u>, gamma rays... but you don't want to mess around with astrophysics?

#### PPPC 4 DM ID

'The Poor Particle Physicist Cookbook for Dark Matter Indirect Direction'

Cirelli, Corcella, Hektor, Hütsi, Kadastik, Panci, Raidal, Sala, Strumia

www.marcocirelli.net/PPPC4DMID.html



DMnu

#### 'Spectra of neutrinos from Dark Matter anihilations'

hep-ph/0506298

Cirelli, Fornengo, Montaruli, Sokalski, Strumia, Vissani

www.marcocirelli.net/DMnu.html